## **CHAPTER 10**

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY** 

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

#### **1.1 PURPOSE OF THE REPORT**

Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) is a decision making tool, in the hands of the Authorities which brings forth the factual position about a project that enables them in arriving at an appropriate conclusion for the proposed projects, to retain them if environmentally sound, and reject if found having deleterious overall impact. EIA identifies the extent of the environmental, social and economic impacts of a project prior to decision-making. EIA systematically examines both beneficial and adverse impacts of the proposed project over and above the prevailing conditions of environmental parameters and ensure that these impacts are taken into account during the project designing stage itself and the values of the combined impacts are never allowed to exceed and remain within the statutory norms. This process has been envisioned and set in motion by the Ministry of Environment and Forests for sustainable development and the final decision is arrived at only, when those to whom it matters are made known of the salient features of the project being envisaged close to them and their opinion has been sought in a widely advertised Public Hearing Event under the chairmanship of the district authorities so that public could also express their opinion free, without favour and fear. Environmental Impact Assessment report is prepared to comply with the Terms of Reference (TOR) received from SEIAA, Uttarakhand, under EIA Notification of the MoEF dated 19-8-2006, and its subsequent amendments and EIA Guidance Manual for Mining of Minerals of MoEF, Govt. of India, for seeking environmental clearance for mining of soapstone in the applied mining lease area measuring 15.283Ha. The proposed project falls under Category "B1" as per NGT order dated 13.09.2018 & OM dated 12th December 2018 by MOEF & CC which states that all 5-25 Ha projects falling under B-2 category will be considered as B1 & will be appraised by SEAC/SEIAA.

### **1.2 IDENTIFICATION OF PROJECT & PROJECT PROPONENT**

The proposed project of Smt. Khashti Danu W/o Shri Tej Singh Danu is for soapstone mining which covers an area of 15.28Ha.near Village- Toli, Tehsil- Kapkot, District-Bageshwar,



# **CHAPTER 10**

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

Uttarakhand.LOI has been granted in favour of Smt. Khashti Danu vide Letter No. 1263/VII-1/16-Soapstone/2016 on dated 08.11.2016 for 50 yrs attached as Annexure II.

Soapstone finds its uses in all aspects of life and commercial business. Soapstone has wide applications across various industries. Some uses for soapstone or talc are paper, textile, cosmetics, paint, ceramics, detergents, animal feed, insecticide, plastics and various drying powder. Soapstone, also known as Talc or Talcum Powder, is a mineral that is naturally found in nature. The chemical name for Talc or Talcum Powder is hydrated magnesium silicate. The region Uttarakhand accounts for 29% of India's soapstone production. The Details of Production is depicted in Tables No. 10.1.

S.No.	Year	Quantities of soapstone (tonnes)
1.	1 <sup>st</sup> Year	12825
2.	2 <sup>nd</sup> Year	14935
3.	3 <sup>rd</sup> Year	17168
4.	4 <sup>m</sup> Year	18860
5.	5 <sup>m</sup> Year	24359
	Total	65130

Total Proposed Production: 88147 Tonnes (in Five Year) **Proposed Production:** 12825 TPA upto24359 TPA (1st to 5thYear)

**Maximum Proposed Capacity**: 24359 TPA (end of 5th Year) The proposed mining project has been categorized as Category B1 project.

# **Proponent & Address**

Smt. Khashti Danu w/o Shri Tej Singh Danu Village-Karmitoli, karmi, Tehsil- Kapkot District-Bageshwar, Uttarakhand

# **1.2.1 Brief description of nature, size and location of the project:**

Brief details of the project are described in the Table No. 10.2 given below:



# **CHAPTER 10**

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

S.	Parameters	Description			
No.					
1.	Name of the Project	Toli Soapstone Mining project			
2.	Location of the Project	Village: Toli, Tehsil: Kapkot,			
		District: Bageshwar, State: Uttarakhand			
3.	Project Proponent	Smt. Khashti Danu W/o Shri Tej Singh Danu			
4.	Lease period validity	50years/specific year will be calculated w.e.f grant of lease deed.			
5.	Lease Details	It is fresh grant case of mining lease. State Govt. has given its consent to grant mining lease vide letter no. 1263/VII-1/16-Soapstone/2016 on dated 08.11.2016 for a period of 50 years.			
6.	Location of the Project				
	Village	Toli			
	Tehsil	Kapkot			
	District	Bageshwar			
	State	Uttarakhand			
7.	Total Lease Area	15.283 На			
8.	Category of the Project	"B1"			
9.	Capacity of the Project	12825 TPA upto24359 TPA (1st to 5thYear)			
		Maximum Production: 24359 TPA (end of 5 <sup>th</sup> Year)			
10.	Topography	The highest level of lease hold is 2408mRL towards			
		SW side & while lowest level is 2280mRL towards			
		NE side.			
11.	Lease Area Coordinate	Pillar     N     E       No			
		1. 30°03'04.4555"N 79°52'36.5551"E			
		2. 30°03'01.6687"N 79°52'46.9030"E			
		3. 30°02'54.9193"N 79°52'41.5439"E			
		4. 30°02'52.3144"N 79°52'48.8822"E			
		5. 30°02'41.8060"N 79°52'46.8944"E			

# **Table No.1.2:- Details of the Project**



# **CHAPTER 10**

Project: Toli Soapstone Mining project Proponent: Smt. Khashti Danu Area: 15.283Ha, Village: Toli, Tehsil: Kapkot,, District: Bageshwar, State: Uttarakhand

# **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

		6	20002141 025211N	70952144 512011
		6.	30°02'41.9252"N	79°52'44.5120"E
		7.	30°02'39.8110"N	79°52'43.2468"E
		8.	30°02'37.9903"N	79°52'45.9103"E
		9.	30°02'36.2116"N	79°52'44.7966"E
		10.	30°02'37.0448"N	79°52'42.7114"E
		11.	30°02'35.9536"N	79°52'38.4084"E
		12.	30°02'37.6212"N	79°52'37.3466"E
		13.	30°02'37.7766"N	79°52'39.4378"E 79°52'40.0649"E
		14.	30°02'40.9088"N	
		15.	30°02'44.2106"N	79°52'38.2162"E
		16.	30°02'43.8316"N	79°52'43.6995"E
		17.	30°02'45.4764"N	79°52'43.9028"E
		18.	30°02'48.3587"N	79°52'39.9384"E
		19.	30°02'46.2167"N	79°52'38.0883"E 79°52'35.3888"E
		20.	30°02'48.9004"N	
		21.	30°02'50.9258"N	79°52'35.2559"E
		22.	30°02'52.4749"N	79°52'37.4938"E
		23.	30°02'53.8545"N	79°52'36.7828"E
		24.	30°02'54.5261"N 30°02'57.1409"N	79°52'39.7643"E
		25.		79°52'41.2465"E
		26.	30°03'00.5580"N	79°52'40.9534"E
		27.	30°03'01.1214"N 30°03'02.4894"N	79°52'36.9642"E 79°52'35.3183"E
12.	Land Type			e land & public use land
13.				-
15.	Method of Mining	Opencasi	, Mechanized Metho	)d
14.	Operational days/ Year	200 Days	3	
15.	Total Water Requirement	18.975 K	LD of water will be	used for the project site
	1		g use, Sprinkling &P	
16.	Source of Water	Potable ta		
17.	Man power requirement	117 perso	ons	
18.	Nearest railway Station/	Karannar	wag 66 6 Km town	ards WNW direction
10.	Airport along with distance in	-	Pithoragarh Airport -	
		mpon.	r niloragani 7 niport	00.+5 Kill (DL)
	Kms			
19.	Nearest Town, City, District	Nearest '	Town/District: Kop	kot, 12.0 km, W
	Head Quarters along with			
	distance in Kms			
20.	Ecological sensitive areas	Not Avai	lable	
20.	Leological sensitive areas	110111141	luolo	



# CHAPTER 10

## **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

	National Parks, Biosphere	
	Reserves, etc.)	
21.	Historical Places	None
22.	Financial & Social benefit	This Project will provide employment to local
		people directly and indirectly, which will improve
		their socio- economic status.
23.	Proposed Project Cost	Rs. 150 Lakhs
24.	Proposed CER Cost	Rs. 7.5 Lakhs
25.	EMP Expenditure	Rs. 38.10 Lakhs



# **CHAPTER 10**

# **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**



# **Figure: 1.1- Project Location**



# **CHAPTER 10**

# **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**



Figure-1.2 5.0 km Buffer Zone from the mine Location



# **CHAPTER 10**

## **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**



# Figure: 1.3 - 10km Buffer Map of Study Area 1.3 STATUS OF REGULATORY CLEARANCES OF THEPROJECT

There is no National Park, Wildlife Sanctuary & National Monument, within core zone or 10 km radius of the ML area.

There is no legal issue against the project in the court of law.

# MINE DEVELOPMENT AND PRODUCTION

The mining will be done mechanized way in open cast method in quite a systematic manner by forming 3m high benches. However, there may be minor variation in the width and- height which the lessee will keep on mending. The top soil and interburden to be scrapped with the help of JCB machine, dozer, shovels, pickaxe, spade & crowbar and will be stacked separately in dump yard located near the working pit. The developmental working will be done by construction of road/track to different working benches, removal of top soil and interburden. The soil will be filled into the bags, loaded on mules and unload into stockyard.



## **CHAPTER 10**

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

#### Method of Mining

It will be opencast mechanized mine. An excavator shall be deployed for the removal of overburden. Mining shall be carried out in pits viz pit I. The height & width of benches shall be kept 3.0m & 3.0m with face slope  $70^{\circ}$ . The waste to be generated shall be dumped towards slope side of working pits & dumping shall be carried out in single terrace.

No further beneficiation will be required except breaking & sorting. The different grade of soapstone will be filled into 50 kg plastic bags & transported the too road side yard manually. From road side the soapstone bags will be loaded into trucks through manually and transported to market.

# 1.4 IMPACT ON LAND USE & RECLAMATION OF MINED OUT AREAS

Opencast mining activities may alter the landscape of the lease area and also cause some disturbance to the surface features of the surrounding areas. Mining will be done after leaving 7.5 m safety barrier. Plantation will be developed in consultation with district administration/ local authority, wherever feasible.

#### **Proposal for reclamation of land affected by mining activities:**

The mining will commence from the higher levels and will advance towards lower levels. Intermittent backfilling will commence from the higher levels and subsequently advance towards the lower elevation so that terraced agriculture fields would undertake in such a manner that original land use will be restored i.e. before the onset of monsoon will be handed over to cultivators for cultivation. The final backfilling will be started once the ultimate benches are formed and pit reaches the optimum economic depth. All recovery of the mineral will be of the saleable grade.

Plantation will be raised in 7.5m barrier zone along the boundaries of the mining lease area by planting the native species around ML area, backfilled and reclaimed area, around water body, roads etc. in consultation with the local DFO/Agriculture department.

#### **1.5 LAND USE PATTERN**

Presently (pre-mining), the land covered under the mine lease area is non-forest land.



# **CHAPTER 10**

Project: Toli Soapstone Mining project Proponent: Smt. Khashti Danu Area: 15.283Ha, Village: Toli, Tehsil: Kapkot,, District: Bageshwar, State: Uttarakhand

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

Forest Land	Area (ha)	Type of Land Land	Area (ha)	Village, Tehsil, District State
Forest (specify)	Nil	(i) Waste Land	Nil	Village: Toli, Tehsil: Kopkot,
Area		(ii) Grazing Land	Nil	District:
(ha)		(iii) Agricultural land	13.535	Bageshwar, Uttrakhand
		(v) State Govt. land	1.246	
		(v)others(specify) Public Utility Land	0.502	
Total	Nil		15.283	

# **1.6 BASELINE ENVIRONMENTAL STATUS**

Three soil samples were collected in and around the mine lease area to assess the present soil quality of the region. Physical characteristics of soil were characterized through specific parameters viz bulk density, porosity, water holding capacity, pH, electrical conductivity and texture. Soil pH plays an important role in the availability of nutrients. Soil microbial activity as well as solubility of metal ions is also dependent on pH. In the study area, variations in the pH of the soil were found to be slightly basic (7.15 to 7.48). Electrical conductivity (EC) is a measure of the soluble salts and ionic activity in the soil. In the collected soil samples the conductivity ranged from 264-298µmhos/cm.

The soils with low bulk density have favorable physical condition where as those with high bulk density exhibit poor physical conditions for agriculture crops.

Based on the results, it is evident that the soils are not contaminated by any polluting sources.

# **Meteorology**



### **CHAPTER 10**

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

Meteorological data at the site was monitored during 1st March 2021 to 31th May 2021 representing pre- monsoon season.

## Ambient Air Quality

Ambient Air Quality Monitoring (AAQM) has been carried out at five locations during pre-monsoon season from March to May 2021. The minimum and maximum level of PM10 recorded within the study area was in the range of  $63.21\mu g/m^3$  to  $87.26\mu g/m^3$  with the 98th percentile  $86.16\mu g/m^3$ . The minimum and maximum level of PM2.5 recorded within the study area was in the range of  $23.38\mu g/m^3$  to  $38.53\mu g/m^3$  with the 98th percentile  $38.51 \mu g/m^3$ . The minimum and maximum concentration of SO2 recorded within the study area was  $5.4 / m^3$  to  $9.6\mu g/m^3$  with the 98th percentile  $9.65\mu g/m^3$ . The minimum and maximum level of NO2 recorded within the study area was in the range of was  $14.3\mu g/m^3$  to  $21.7\mu g/m^3$  with the 98th percentile  $21.33 \mu g/m^3$ . The results thus obtained indicate that the concentrations of PM10, PM2.5, SO2 and NO2 in the Ambient Air are well within the National Ambient Air Quality (NAAQ) standards for Industrial, Residential, Rural and other areas.

# Water Quality

The water quality in the impact zone was assessed through physico-chemical and Microbiological analysis of ground water samples. The results have been compared with the drinking water quality standards specified in IS: 10500. It was observed that all the physico-chemical parameters and heavy metals from ground water samples are below stipulated limits for drinking water standards.

□ The pH limit fixed for drinking water samples as per IS-10500 Standards is 6.5 to 8.5 beyond this range the water will affect the mucus membrane or water supply system. During the study period, the pH was varying for ground waters from 7.23to7.32and the surface waters are 7.64to7.72. The pH values for all the samples collected in the study area during study period were found to be within the limits.



## **CHAPTER 10**

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

□ The desirable limit for total dissolved solids as per □-10500 Standards is 500 mg/l whereas the permissible limits in absence of alternate source is 2000 mg/l, beyond this palatability decreases and may cause gastro intestinal irritation. In ground water samples collected from the study area, the total dissolved solids are varying from 263 mg/l to 275 mg/l l. The TDS of the samples were above the desirable limit but within the permissible limit of 2000 mg/l.

□ The desirable limit for chlorides is 250 mg/l as per I -10500 Standards whereas, permissible limit of the same is 1000 mg/l beyond this limit taste, corrosion and palatability are affected. The chloride level in the surface water samples collected in the study area were ranging from 13 mg/l to a maximum of19 mg/l, in ground water samples19mg/lto23mg/l. The chloride samples are within the desirable limits.

□ The desirable limit as per I -10500 Standards for hardness is 200 mg/l whereas the permissible limit for the same is 600 mg/l beyond this limit encrustation in water supply structure and adverse effects on domestic use will be observed. In the ground water samples collected from the study area, the hardness is varying from 172.8mg/l to 182mg/l.

 $\Box$  Fluoride is the other important parameter, which has the desirable limit of 1 mg/l and permissibl limit of 1.5 mg/l. however the optimum content of fluoride in the drinking water is 0.6 to 1.5 mg/l. If fluoride content is less than 0.6 mg/l it causes dental carries, above 1.5 mg/l causes flurosis. In the ground water samples of study area the fluoride value were in the range of 0.2 mg/l to 0.6 mg/l. In surface water 0.37 mg/l to 0.44 mg/l.

Overall all the samples collected from the study area were found to be fit for consumption, Most of ground water samples are well within the permissible limits, as per IS-10500. Most of the heavy metals in all samples are below detectable limits.

### **Noise Levels**

The noise level monitoring results of March, 2021 to May, 2021 are presented in Table 3.5. The ambient noise level in study area during the day time varies from 45.87 to 40.23 dB(A)



# **CHAPTER 10**

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

during day time and 41.27 to 36.15 dB(A)during night which is within the specified limits of CPCB.

## **Ecological Environment**

There are no wildlife sanctuaries and National Parks within the study area of 10-km radius.

# **1.7 ANTICIPATED ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS**

# **Impact on Air Quality**

Soapstone mine where PM10 and PM2.5 will be the main pollutants generated in mining activities. The emissions of Sulphur dioxide (SO2), Nitrogen Oxide (NO2) contributed by diesel operated equipment and vehicles movement were considered marginal as branded make and vehicles with PUC certificate will be operated only. Fugitive dust and particulates are major pollutants occurred in the mining activities. Fugitive emissions will be settled by 70- 80% by use of multiple water sprinklers. Prediction of impacts on air environment will be made with proposed production and net increase in PM10 and PM2.5 emissions at the proposed site and at the 10 km radius of study area due to mining activities.

Air pollution sources in the operating mine was classified into two categories

i. Loading and unloading of mineral and OB, IB

ii. Transportation on the haul road

# Impact on Water Resources Surface Water Resources

The topography of the area will not be largely changed in view of the proposed concurrent reclamation. During the mining activity period, there is a possibility of mixing of freshly disturbed material with the rain water. To take care of such happenings, retaining walls have been provided along the backfilled pits and along the soil and interburden dumps.

# **Groundwater Resources**



### **CHAPTER 10**

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

The water table in hills is usually very deep and does not have any relevance with mining activities. However, concurrent restoration to original topography will not be disturbing the percolating water.

#### Impact on Water Quality

The impact on water quality will be confined to increased suspended solids during rain. The dumps will be secured with toe walls and rainy water will not carry significant suspended material.

#### **Impact on Noise Levels and Ground Vibrations**

With the mining operations, due to the deployment of machinery, operation for mine development, excavation and transportation of soapstone, it is imperative that noise levels would increase. It is also observed that these incremental noise levels will not significantly affect the existing ambient noise levels.

#### **Impact on Soil**

The environmental impacts of the mining activities on topsoil are based on the quantity of removal of topsoil and its dumping. In the present project as it is proposed to temporarily store the topsoil and use it for plantation schemes, no impact of dozing of topsoil is envisaged.

The soil erosion from overburden and interburden dumps is not envisaged in the present project, as sufficient measures as detailed in the EMP would be undertaken.

#### Impact on Flora and Fauna

There is no forest area in the core zone area of the lease. As the mining activity is restricted to core zone, no significant impact on the flora of the buffer zone due to the proposed mining of Soapstone is anticipated.

The incremental dust generations due to the mining operations, at the boundary of the mine lease are insignificant and it is also expected that with the adoption of mitigatory measures as



# **CHAPTER 10**

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

suggested in EMP, the impact due to operation of the mine will be minimal on the terrestrial ecosystem and also on the adjacent forest area.

The impact on the fauna of the buffer zone due to the mining activity will be marginal. The proposed progressive plantation over a period of time will reduce the impact, if any, on the fauna.

### **Impact on Land Use Pattern**

The proposed opencast mine will result in change the land use pattern of the ML area. The land degradation is expected during mining activities like excavation, overburden dumping, soil extraction etc. Land requirement for the project has been assessed considering functional needs.

#### **Impact on Socio - Economic Aspects**

The mine area does not cover any habitation. Hence the mining activity does not involve any displacement of human settlement. No public buildings, places, monuments etc exist within the lease area or in the vicinity. The mining operation will not disturb/ relocate any village or need resettlement. Thus no adverse impact is anticipated.

The impact of mining activity in the area is positive on the socio-economic environment of the region. The proposed Soapstone Mine will be providing employment to local population and it will be give preference to the local people whenever there is requirement of man power

### **1.8 ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PLAN**

The summary of environmental mitigation measures are given in below table

### Table 1.3: Proposed Environmental Mitigation Measures

Impact Predicted		ed	Suggestive measure	
Disturbance	of	free	• Awareness camps will be conducted for labours to make them	



# **CHAPTER 10**

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

movement/living of wild	aware about sensitivity/importance of forest life.
fauna vind	<ul> <li>No tract or new road for movement of labours or vehicles be laid in reserve forest area, this will prevent forest fragmentation, encroachment and human – animal encounter</li> <li>Care will be taken that noise produced during vehicles movement for carrying materials are within the permissible noise level. Higher noise level in the forest area will lead to restless and failure in detection of calls of mates and young ones</li> <li>Care will be taken that no hunting of animals carried out by labours.</li> <li>If wild animals are noticed crossing the core zone, it will not be disturbed at all.</li> <li>Labours will not be allowed to discards food, plastic etc., which can attract animals near the core site.</li> <li>Only low polluting vehicle will be allowed for carrying ore materials. All vehicles allowed in the project site area will have to provide pollution under control certificate at the end of three months.</li> <li>No honk will be allowed in the forest area, noise level will be within permissible limit (silent zone-50dB during day time)as per noise pollution (regulation and control) rules,2000, CPCB norms.</li> </ul>
Harvesting of forest flora	<ul> <li>No tree cutting, chopping, lumbering, uprooting of shrubs and herbs should be allowed.</li> <li>No pilling of ore material should in the reserve forest area.</li> <li>Collections of economically important plants will be fully restricted.</li> </ul>

# **1.9ANALYSIS OF ALTERNATIVES**

The Soapstone has been identified based on the result of geological investigations and exploration carried out by the Geological Survey of India (GSI). The mining projects are site specific as such alternate sites were not considered.



# CHAPTER 10

## **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

The mine is operated by opencast mechanized method of mining. No other alternative technologies can be used because of the hard nature of the ore. Proposed mine is using eco-friendly measures to minimize the impact of mining on the surrounding environment.

# 1.10 ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM

Attributes	Sampling		Measurement	Test Procedure					
	Network	Frequency	Method						
A. Air Environment									
Pollutants	5 locations in	Once in a	Gravimetric	-					
PM2.5,	the project	season.	method						
	impact area		Gravimetric	-					
$PM_{10}$	(Minimum 2		method						
SO <sub>2</sub>	Locations in		EPA Modified	Absorption in					
	upwind side,		West & Geake	Potassium Tetra					
	3 sites in		method	Chloromercurate					
	Downwind			followed by					
	side / impact			Colorimetric estimation					
	zone and 1 in			using P-Rosaniline					
	core zone)			hydrochloride and					
				Formaldehyde (IS:					
				5182 Part - II).					
NO <sub>2</sub>	-		Arsenite	Absorption in dil.					
			modified	NaOH and then					
			Jacob	estimated					
			Hochheiser	colorimetrically with					
				sulphanilamide and N					
				(I-Nepthyle) Ethylene					
				diamine					
				Dihydrochloride and					
				HydrogenPeroxide					
				(CPCB Method).					
B. Water Environm	ient	1	1						
pH, Turbidity,	Set of grab	Diurnal and	As per IS	Samples for water					
Colour, Odour,	samples during	Season wise	10500	quality should be					
Taste, TDS, Total	pre and post-			collected and analyzed					



# **CHAPTER 10**

## **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

	Hardness,	monsoon for			as per : IS : 2488 (Part
	Calcium	ground and			1-5) methods for
	hardness,	surface Water			sampling and testing of
	Magnesium	in the vicinity.			Industrial effluents
	hardness,				Standard methods for
	Chloride,				examination of water
	Fluoride,				and wastewater analysis
	Sulphate,				published by American
	Nitrates,				Public Health
	Alkalinity, Iron,				Association.
	Copper,				
	Manganese,				
	Mercury,				
	Cadmium,				
	Selenium,				
	Arsenic, Cyanide,				
	Lead,				
	Zinc,				
	Chromium,				
	Aluminum,				
	Boron,				
	Phenolic				
	Compounds				
-			C. Noise		
-	Noise levels at	Mine Boundary	Quarterly /	As per CPC	CB As per
	Day & night time -	, High noise	Half	norms	CPCB
	Leq dB (A)	generating areas	yearly		norms
		within			
		the lease			
-		I I	D. Soil		
-	pH, Bulk	3 locations	Yearly/half	As per	As per
	Density, Soil	in the project	yearly	USDA	USDA
	texture,	impact area	55	Method	Method
-	Nitrogen,	paot arou			
	Available				
	Phosphorus,				
	i nospiioras,				



# **CHAPTER 10**

# **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

Potassium, Calcium,				
Magnesium,				
Sodium, Electrical	1			
Conductivity,				
Organic Matter,				
Chloride				
		E. Socioeconomic		
Demographic	Socioeconomic	Minimum for	Primary	Secondary data
structure	survey is based	two phases of		from census
Infrastructure	on	the project		records, statistical
resource base	proportionate,			hard books, topo
Economic	stratified and			sheets, health
resource base	random			Records and
Health status:	sampling			relevant official
Morbidity	method			records available
pattern Cultural				withGovt.
and Aesthetic				Agencies
attributes				
Education				

# **1.10 COST ESTIMATES**

The details of the cost to for the Environmental Management plan for 5 years, the budget for Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER) and year wise allocation of funds for the various activities proposed to be taken up under CER programme has been given in below **Table No – 10.4** 

CER plan is given below:

- > Total Cost of the Project = Rs.150 Lakhs
- > Yearly CER cost for the project, i.e. 5% of the total project cost

Rs. 150 Lakhs x 0.05 = Rs. (7.5 Lakhs)

This is the proposed cost CER Plan, Activities and actual cost will be finalized as per the Actual need of the area.

(ON THE BASIS OF NEED BASE ASSESSMENT SURVEY)



# CHAPTER 10

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

S. No.	Activity	Cost per Unit (Rs)	Quantity	Total (Rs.)
1.	Installation of Hand pump for nearby Village	40,000	05	2,00,000
2.	Installation of Solar street light in nearby Villages	14,000	08	1,12,000
3.	Construction of Toilets for in nearby villages	30,000	05	1,50,000
4.	Organize health check-up camp in village	-	-	2,00,000
5.	Distribute Stationary nearby School	-	-	88000
	Total Proposed CER Cost			7,50,000

## Table No – 1.4Budget allotted for CER

Table- 1.5 Budget allotted for project operation cost & Environmental ManagementProgramme

S.	Description		Unit	Total (Rs.)
No.				
A. P	roject Operation Cost			
1.	Manpower Cost:		(Total Man power 117) Assuming	97,00,000
	Managerial/ Supervisory	Staff - 05	200days	
	Skilled	-02		
	Semi-Skilled	-68		
	Un skilled	-42		
	Expenditure on Occupat	ional Health:		
	PPE & First Aid Facility			
	Medical checkup and Med	licine		
	(Once in a month)			
3.	Equipment's/Tools/Mac	hineries	200 days Assuming Rs.5000/day	10,00,000
4.	Drinking and Sanitary F	acilities	➢ Rs. 2000/day for	4,90,000



# **CHAPTER 10**

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

	Total Project Cost (A	A+B)	Rs. 111.90 + 38.10 = (150 Lakhs)
	Total Environment Protection & Management Cost (B)		Rs. 38,10,000 (38.10 Lakhs)
		<ul> <li>Half Yearly Submission of Compliances.</li> </ul>	
		Air, water, Noise & Soil.	
		Environmental Parameters viz.	
8.	Environmental Monitoring & Compliances.	> Half Yearly Monitoring of	5,00,000
		days). Note: Annual cost will increase with increase in no. of sapling.	
		(For 3000 Saplings Annually.i.e.365	
		Post plantation care @500/day	
	& post plantation care	(3000 sapling/Year)	
7.	Plantation along the road side	Plantation@500/sapling	30,00,000
		No. of Tankers required: 1	
		Tanker Capacity: 5000 liter,	
		Tanker Cost: Rs. 1000/Tanker	
	Suppression	of working	. ,
6.	Water Sprinkling on Haulage Road for Dust	Assuming Rs.1000/day for 240 days	2,00,000
	leveled road.		
	<ul> <li>Setting &amp; Fixing of Cut Stone on the</li> </ul>		
	up to width of 6m and length of 100 m.	100 m (L) x 6 m (W)	
5.	<ul> <li>Filling, Leveling and widening of the road</li> </ul>	7 miluti	1,10,000
	Haulage Road Repair & Maintenance	Annual	1,10,000
<u>, n</u>	eak-up of Expenditure on Environment Protection & Environment Management		(111.90 Lakh)
	<b>Total Project Operation Cost (A)</b>		Rs. 1,11,90,000
		Rs. 30,000/ Bio-toilets x 3	<b>T</b>
		drinking/domestic (240 days)	



# **CHAPTER 10**

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

## **1.11ADDITIONAL STUDIES**

#### **Risk Assessment and Disaster Management Plan**

The complete mining operation will be carried out under the management control and direction of a qualified mine manager holding Mines Manager's Certificate of Competency. Moreover, mining staff will be sent to refresher courses from time to time to keep them updated.

### **Disaster Management Plan**

Emergency preparedness is an important aspect in the planning of Disaster Management. Personnel would be trained suitably and prepared mentally and physically in emergency response through carefully planned, simulated procedures. Similarly, the key personnel and essential personnel shall be trained in the operations.

# **1.12 PUBLIC CONSULTATION**

### **Public Hearing**

In consonance with the EIA notification dated 14th September 2006, vide section 1 (a) related to Public Hearing, the draft EIA/EMP report submitted to the Uttarakhand Environment Protection & Pollution Control Board (UEPPCB) for public hearing.

### **1.13 PROJECT BENEFITS**

The impact on the civic amenities will be substantial after the commencement of mining activities. Medical facilities will be provided in the form of first-aid facility at the mine. These medical facilities will also be available to local people in the surrounding in case of emergencies.

- Generation of employment and improved standard of living;
- Increased revenue to the State by way of royalty, taxes and duties; and
- Superior communication and transport facilities etc.

The employment of local people in primary and secondary sectors of project will upgrade the prosperity of the region.

# **1.14 CONCLUSIONS**



# CHAPTER 10

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

•The mining operations will meet the compliance requirements of MoEF&CC;

•Community impacts will be beneficial, as the project will generate significant economic benefits for the region;

•Adoption of Best Available Technology and Best Management Practices with more environmental friendly process; and

•With the effective implementation of the Environment Management Plan (EMP) during the mining activities, the proposed project can proceed without any significant negative impact on environment.

